(ग) कंपनी आशा करती है कि सभी सरकारी स्वीकृतियों के मिलने की तारीख से 48 मैंहीनों के अन्दर वह इस को परियोजना को पूरा कर देगी ।

Mass Transfers in the Marketing Division of Indian Drugs and pharmaceuticals Ltd.

3071. SHRIMATI BARLA MAHESHWARI: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it ts a fact that mass transfers were effected in the Marketing Division of Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited in 1989;

(b) what was the policy actuating mass transfers;

(c) whether a large number of people resented the transfers and refused to join duty in the place transferred to;

(d) what action was taken against 'hem and how many persons were dismissed; and

(e) how many persons who joined their new places were brought back to their original headquarter within less than six months and the expenditure incurred in these transfers?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) As reported by Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Limited, out of the total strength of 1067 of the Marketing Division only 46 transfers took place in 1989. These transfers included officials working directly in the Marketing Division as well as in support functions like Persons/Financt Deptt, of -the Marketing Division, The transfers were as per the terms and conditions of the appointment and in the interest of better functioning of the Marketing Division.

(c) and (d) 18 employees represented against the transfer and did not join. Disciplinary action was initiated against them.. Out of 18 officials, 10 were dismissed; 3 resipned and 2 have joined back. Disciplinary action is pending •against 3 officials.

(e) Two officials have been transferred back to their original headquarters and the expenditure involved is very nominal.

Former Director of IDPL leaving the Company before completion of terms

3072. SHRI MOHAMMED AMIN; *Will* the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether both the former Director (Marketing) and later on the Chairman and managing Director of IDPL left the company before the completion of their contractlterms;

(b) if so, -how and under what circumstances both these persons left the company;

(c) whether there were som; serious charges against them; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and what Government propose to do in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN); (a) and (b) The former Director (Marketing), IDPL had resigned on personal grounds in June, 1989. Thers was no special circumstances attached to the resignation of the then Director (Marketing). Shri K. Venkataraman, who had been working as Chairman and Managing Director, IDPL since September, 1986 had tendered his resignation in March, 1991 on health prounds. The resignation was accepted.

(c) and (d) There were some complaintsla1legations against the Management of IDPL including the C&MD. The complaints were mainly about the functioning of the undertaking. The allegations are general in nature and no specific charge against any individual officer has So far been framed.

Termination of the services of Indian Dings & Pharmaceuticals limited Officers

3073. SHRI SUNIL BASU RAY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that the services of Shri S. S. Suri, Chief Law Officer

of the Indian Drugs and Pharmaceuticals Limited, were terminated before the expiry of his contract as also of Shri K. V. Pai, the Marketing Services Controller, Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Limited with effect from 28th August, 1991; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) Shri S. S. Suri was, after his superannuation, engaged as a Cansultant in TDPL. The engagement of Shri Suri was discontinued at his oiwn request. Shri K. V. Pai, after his superannuation, engaged on a retainer basis in IDPL. The retainership was discontinued 3 days in advance of the expiry of the contractual period, on completion of the worklassignment.

Pan assessment of Drag Companies

3074. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 670 given in the Rajya Sabha on 28th November, 1991 and state:

(a) what are the names of the Com panies against whom part assessment has been made;

(b) what is the date upto which assessment in each case is to be made and what are the reasons for delay;

(c) what are the details of cases for which assessment has been made from 1st January, 1991 to 30th November, 1995;

(d) what are the details of amount recovered after 31st December, 1990 upto today;

(e) whether it is a fact that in number of non Supreme Court cases Government have not even so far initiated the process of assessment; and

(f) if so, names of those Companies, when the last notice was sent to them for calling for data and what is the present status of each?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN) (a) to (c), (e) and (f) The process of assessment entails scrutiny of relevant data regarding production, sale and sale prices and the delay has occurred on account of non-receipt of requisite data from the companies in a number of cases. All efforts are being made to finalise the assessments as quickly as possible. Detailed information has already been given in the statement annexed to the answer relating to the referred question.

(d) No amount has been recovered during the last one year.

Recovery of arrears from drag Companies

3075. SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN:
SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHMAN:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer *to* Unstarred Question 670 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 28th November, 1991 and state:

(a) what are the names of his companies who have asked for personal hearing;

(b) when each sought personal hearing;

(c) what are the details of cases where personal hearing was given and when each hearing was given;

(d) what are the names of companies to whom notices have been given to discharge their liability and when each notice was sent;

(e) what are the details of response received from each company;

(f) whether Government have processed any action against the defaulters for recovery of amount as arrears of land revenue alongwith interest based on the notice given to them; and